

Patient Name	Centre
Age/Gender	OP/IP No/UHID
MaxID/Lab ID	Collection Date/Time
Ref By	Reporting Date/Time



<b>TEST REQUESTED</b>
<b>MAX NGS LUNG CANCER PANEL</b>

<b>CLINICAL/SAMPLE INFORMATION</b>
As per Histopathology impression, there is Adenocarcinoma in biopsy from right lung lesion.
FFPE Block (Block No.: S-6611/23-B, Tumor Content: ~30%)

<b>TARGETED GENES</b>																																								
<b>HOTSPOT GENES COVERED</b> (Next Generation Sequencing)																																								
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>AKT1</td><td>ALK</td><td>AR</td><td>BRAF</td><td>CDK4</td><td>CTNNB1</td><td>DDR2</td><td>EGFR (1)</td><td>ERBB2</td><td>ERBB3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ERBB4</td><td>ESR1</td><td>FGFR2</td><td>FGFR3</td><td>GNA11</td><td>GNAQ</td><td>HRAS</td><td>IDH1</td><td>IDH2</td><td>JAK1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>JAK2</td><td>JAK3</td><td>KIT</td><td>KRAS</td><td>MAP2K1</td><td>MAP2K2</td><td>MET</td><td>MTOR</td><td>NRAS</td><td>PDGFRA</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PIK3CA</td><td>RAF1</td><td>RET</td><td>ROS1</td><td>SMO</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td> </tr> </table>	AKT1	ALK	AR	BRAF	CDK4	CTNNB1	DDR2	EGFR (1)	ERBB2	ERBB3	ERBB4	ESR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	GNA11	GNAQ	HRAS	IDH1	IDH2	JAK1	JAK2	JAK3	KIT	KRAS	MAP2K1	MAP2K2	MET	MTOR	NRAS	PDGFRA	PIK3CA	RAF1	RET	ROS1	SMO					
AKT1	ALK	AR	BRAF	CDK4	CTNNB1	DDR2	EGFR (1)	ERBB2	ERBB3																															
ERBB4	ESR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	GNA11	GNAQ	HRAS	IDH1	IDH2	JAK1																															
JAK2	JAK3	KIT	KRAS	MAP2K1	MAP2K2	MET	MTOR	NRAS	PDGFRA																															
PIK3CA	RAF1	RET	ROS1	SMO																																				
<b>GENES WITH FUSIONS</b> (Next Generation Sequencing)																																								
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>ALK</td><td>AR</td><td>BRAF</td><td>CCND1</td><td>CDK4</td><td>CDK6</td><td>EGFR</td><td>ERBB2</td><td>FGFR1</td><td>FGFR2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FGFR3</td><td>FGFR4</td><td>KIT</td><td>KRAS</td><td>MET</td><td>MYC</td><td>MYCN</td><td>PDGFRA</td><td>PIK3CA</td><td></td> </tr> </table>	ALK	AR	BRAF	CCND1	CDK4	CDK6	EGFR	ERBB2	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	FGFR4	KIT	KRAS	MET	MYC	MYCN	PDGFRA	PIK3CA																					
ALK	AR	BRAF	CCND1	CDK4	CDK6	EGFR	ERBB2	FGFR1	FGFR2																															
FGFR3	FGFR4	KIT	KRAS	MET	MYC	MYCN	PDGFRA	PIK3CA																																
<b>GENES WITH CNV</b> (Next Generation Sequencing)																																								
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>BL1</td><td>AKT3</td><td>AXL</td><td>BRAF</td><td>EGFR</td><td>ERBB2</td><td>ERG</td><td>ETV1</td><td>ETV4</td><td>ETV5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FGFR1</td><td>FGFR2</td><td>FGFR3</td><td>MET</td><td>NTRK1</td><td>NTRK2</td><td>NTRK3</td><td>PPARG</td><td>RAF1</td><td>RET</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ROS1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td> </tr> </table>	BL1	AKT3	AXL	BRAF	EGFR	ERBB2	ERG	ETV1	ETV4	ETV5	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	MET	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	PPARG	RAF1	RET	ROS1																			
BL1	AKT3	AXL	BRAF	EGFR	ERBB2	ERG	ETV1	ETV4	ETV5																															
FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	MET	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	PPARG	RAF1	RET																															
ROS1																																								
<b>MET Exon14 Skipping</b> (Fragment Analysis)																																								
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Met 9(13)-MET (15)</td> <td>Not Detected</td> </tr> </table>	Met 9(13)-MET (15)	Not Detected																																						
Met 9(13)-MET (15)	Not Detected																																							

<b>PRIMARY FINDINGS</b>																
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Gene</th> <th>CDS Variant</th> <th>Amino Acid Change</th> <th>Exon</th> <th>Allele Frequency</th> <th>Coverage</th> <th>dbSNP ID</th> <th>Pathogenicity (Clinvar)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><b>EGFR</b></td> <td>NM_005228.5:c.2573T&gt;G</td> <td>p.Leu858Arg</td> <td>21</td> <td>15.74%</td> <td>1950</td> <td>rs121434568</td> <td>Drug Response</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Gene	CDS Variant	Amino Acid Change	Exon	Allele Frequency	Coverage	dbSNP ID	Pathogenicity (Clinvar)	<b>EGFR</b>	NM_005228.5:c.2573T>G	p.Leu858Arg	21	15.74%	1950	rs121434568	Drug Response
Gene	CDS Variant	Amino Acid Change	Exon	Allele Frequency	Coverage	dbSNP ID	Pathogenicity (Clinvar)									
<b>EGFR</b>	NM_005228.5:c.2573T>G	p.Leu858Arg	21	15.74%	1950	rs121434568	Drug Response									

<b>INTERPRETATION SUMMARY</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This test identified a variant in EGFR gene in exon 21 [NM_005228.5(EGFR):c.2573T&gt;G (p.Leu858Arg); VAF-15.74%]. As per ClinVar database, this variant has been classified as Drug Response.</li> <li>This test did not identify any clinically significant fusions in the genes mentioned in the panel.</li> <li>This test did not identify any clinically significant CNVs in the genes mentioned in the panel.</li> <li>This test did not identify MET Exon14 Skipping by fragment analysis.</li> </ul>



Patient Name	Centre
Age/Gender	OP/IP No/UHID
MaxID/Lab ID	Collection Date/Time
Ref By	Reporting Date/Time



## Sample Cancer Type: Lung Cancer

### Relevant Lung Cancer Findings

Gene	Finding	Gene	Finding
ALK	None detected	NTRK1	None detected
BRAF	None detected	NTRK2	None detected
<b>EGFR</b>	<b>EGFR L858R</b>	NTRK3	None detected
ERBB2	None detected	RET	None detected
KRAS	None detected	ROS1	None detected
MET	None detected		

### Relevant Biomarkers

Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
<i>EGFR L858R</i> Allele Frequency: 15.74%	<b>afatinib</b> <sup>1,2</sup> <b>bevacizumab*</b> + <b>erlotinib</b> <sup>2</sup> <b>dacomitinib</b> <sup>1,2</sup> <b>erlotinib</b> <sup>1,2</sup> <b>erlotinib + ramucirumab</b> <sup>1,2</sup> <b>gefitinib*</b> <sup>1,2</sup> <b>osimertinib</b> <sup>1,2</sup> atezolizumab + bevacizumab + chemotherapy gefitinib + chemotherapy	None	234

Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA<sup>1</sup>, NCCN, EMA<sup>2</sup>, ESMO  
\* Includes biosimilars/generics

**⚠ Alerts informed by public data sources:** **🚫** Contraindicated, **🛑** Resistance, **🚀** Breakthrough, **🏎** Fast Track

*EGFR L858R* **🚀** **patritumab deruxtecan**<sup>1</sup>  
**🏎** **osimertinib + quaratusugene ozeplasmid**<sup>1</sup>

Public data sources included in alerts: FDA<sup>1</sup>, NCCN, EMA<sup>2</sup>, ESMO

Patient Name	Centre
Age/Gender	OP/IP No/UHID
MaxID/Lab ID	Collection Date/Time
Ref By	Reporting Date/Time



## TEST METHODOLOGY

### Background

Multi gene analysis through next generation sequencing allows the identification of variants to understand their prognostic and therapeutic implications in different cancer types, if any. Targeted application of next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology allows detection of specific mutations that can provide treatment opportunities to the patients. This panel with improved primer design and as little as 10 ng of DNA enable researchers to sequence challenging samples such as Formalin fixed, paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissue which exhibit variable quality.

### Method - NGS

This panel targets 52 genes along with fusions and uses methodologies of Next generation sequencing using Oncomine focus assay. These genes have been selected on the basis of their known impact as actionable targets of existing and emerging anti-cancer therapies, and the prognostic features in specific tumor types.

The sensitivity of the assays depends on the quality of the block, and tumor content. In validation studies using control material and a variety of cell lines, the minimum analytic detection limit for each of the assays is 5%.

Genomic positions are given in reference to the GRCh37 (hg19) assembly of the human genome.

### Method – Fragment Analysis

This panel covers Met exon 14 skipping by fragment analysis.

### References

- NCCN Guidelines Version 1.2023, Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer
- Li MM, Datto M, Duncavage EJ, Kulkarni S, Lindeman NI, Roy S, Tsimberidou AM, Vnencak-Jones CL, Wolff DJ, Younes A, Nikiforova MN. Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists. J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23. PMID: 27993330

### Limitations

The accuracy and completeness may vary due to variable information available in different databases. The classification of variants of unknown significance can change over time. Synonymous mutations were not considered while preparing this report. The mutations have not been confirmed using Sanger sequencing and/or alternate technologies. To rule out germ line mutations i.e. variant with variant allele frequency at nearly 50% or 100%, whole blood sample is recommended to process along with tissue sample. No other variant that warrants to be pathogenic was detected. Variations with high minor allele frequencies which are benign/likely benign will be given upon request.

Patient Name	Centre
Age/Gender	OP/IP No/UHID
MaxID/Lab ID	Collection Date/Time
Ref By	Reporting Date/Time



**DISCLAIMER**

A Negative result implying non-detection of mutation/deletion indicates a Benign/likely Benign polymorphism. A negative test result may also be due to the inherent technical limitations of the assay. Results obtained should be interpreted with consideration of the overall picture obtained from clinical, laboratory, and pathological findings. Rare polymorphisms may lead to false negative or positive results. False negative results may be due to sampling error/errors in sample handling as well as clonal density below the limit of detection. Misinterpretation of results may occur if the information provided is inaccurate or incomplete. Identification of a mutation in one or more of these genes does not guarantee activity of the drug in a given indication due to the presence of contraindicated mutation in the gene not covered by the panel.

The accuracy and completeness may vary due to variable information available in different databases. Classification of the variant may change overtime. An updated variant classification may be obtained on request. Insertions and deletions greater than 20bp in size may not be detected by this assay. Due to poor quality of FFPE DNA, indeterminate result due to low gene coverage or low variant depth cannot be ruled out. The sensitivity of the assays depends on the quality of the block, and tumor content.

The information provided should only be utilized as a guide or aid and the decision to select any therapy option based on the information reported here resides solely with the discretion of the treating physician. Patient care and treatment decisions should only be made by the physician after taking into account all relevant information available including but not limited to the patient's condition, family history, findings upon examination, results of other diagnostic tests, and the current standards of care. This report should only be used as an aid and the physician should employ sound clinical judgment in arriving at any decision for patient care or treatment.

This is a laboratory developed test (LDT). Since only a portion of the tumor was tested, it is possible that this result may not represent the entire tumor population.

(DR ATUL THATAI)  
Director Molecular &  
Cytogenomics

(DR NITIN DAYAL)  
Prin. Cons. and Head  
Hematopathology

(DR PRIYANKA AGARWAL)  
Sr. Manager  
Genomics & Molecular